IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
WRIT PETITION NO : 10443 of 2004

Between:

1 Vasamsetty Vadapalli S/o. Vlenkanna
Vemagirithota, Vamagiri Gram Panchayat, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.
2 Vasamsetty Laxmi W/o. Apparao
Vemagirithota, Vamagiri Gram Panchayat, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.
3 Mattaparthi Satyanarayana S/o. narasimha Murthy
Vemagirithota, Vamagiri Gram Panchayat, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.
4 Parthipati Srinu S/o. Ganga Raju
Vemagirithota, Vamagiri Gram Panchayat, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.
5 Mattaparthi Suramma W/o. Kondala Rao
Vemagirithota, Vamagiri Gram Panchayat, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.
6 S.W. Raghavacharlyulu S/o. Venkatacharyulu
Vemagirithota, Vamagiri Gram Panchayat, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.
7 Mamidisetti Dhanalaxmi W/o. Yedukondalu
Vemagirithota, Vamagiri Gram Panchayat, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.
8 Pithani Madhavarao S/o. Ramana
Vemagirithota, Vamagiri Gram Panchayat, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The District Collector, E.G.District at Kakinada.
2 The Sub-Clollector, Rajahmundry, E.G.District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to to issue appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering there presentations of the petitioners dt. 12-2-2004 and 8-4-2004 for providing unobjectionable land abetting National High-way - 5 in Vemagirithota (V), Kadiyam (M), E.G. District, as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently direct the respondents to consider and dispose off the representations submitted by the petitioners in this regard

For the Petitioners: MR.K.VENKATESH

For the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court Made the Following :

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue and with their consent the writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission.

The case of the petitioners is that as they belong to weaker sections, the Government has allotted house sites abutting the National High Way-5, to them in the year 1977 and gratned pattas, they have constructed houses and they were eking out their livelihood by doing some petty business in the said premses. Whileh so, during the course of road widening, they lost their houses and though some alternative land was provided to them in the village, they lost their livelihood and therefore they have made representations to the respondents on 12-2-2004 and 8-4-2004 to the respondents to allot some land adjacent to the National High Way-5 so as to enable them to do some business there while residing at the same place. The grievance of the petitioners is that though some un-objectionable land is still available for allotment in the area where they were residing earlier, the respondents are not considering their representations.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances, it is suffice to direct the respondents to consider and pass appropriate orders on the representations dated 12-2-2004 and 8-4-2004 made by the petitioners.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

24-6-2004

asp

1 The District Collector, E.G.District at Kakinada.
2 The Sub-Clollector, Rajahmundry, E.G.District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kadiyam(m) E.G.District.
4. Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Revenue, High court Buildings (O.U.T.)
5. Two C.D. copies